

SAINT, SINNER, OR SOLDIER-LIBERATION THEOLOGY AND LOW INTENSITY CONFLICT

A Monograph
by
Major Robert H. Drumm Jr.





School of Advanced Military Studies
United States Army Command and General Staff College
Fort Leavenworth, Kansas

First Term AY 91-92

Approved for Public Release; Distribution is Unlimited

92-15172

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments reparding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorals for Information Department and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Dayl State 1204, Astington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-018), Washington, OC 30503

AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	1. REPORT DATE 17/12/91	J. REPORT TYPE AND MONOGRAPH	DATES COV	ERED
TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDING	NUMBERS
SAINT, SINNER, OR SOLDIE INTENSITY CONFLICT	ER - LIBERATION THEOL	OGY AND LOW		
AUTHOR(S)		·		
MAJ ROBERT H. DRUMM, JR	. USA			
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAM	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		E. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
SCHOOL OF ADVANCED MILITARY STUDIES ATTN: ATZL-SWV FORT LEAVENWORTH, KANSAS 66027-6900 COM (013) 684 2427 AUTOVON 552 2427				
COM (913) 684-3437 AUTOVON 552-3437 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) .				RING/MONITORING REPORT NUMBER
1. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	J	
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY ST	ATEMENT		126. DISTR	IBUTION CODE
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC REL	EASE: DISTRIBUTION UN	NLIMITED		
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)				
SEE ATTACHED				
•				
• •				
1				
14. SUBJECT TERMS	. SPECIAL OPE	ERATING FORCES		15. NUMBER OF PAGES
LOW INTENSITY CONFLIC	r counter-ins	SURGENCY		54
LOW INTENSITY CONFLICTION THEOLOGY	r COUNTER-INS GUERRILLA V	SURGENCY VARFARE		54 16. PRICE CODE
LOW INTENSITY CONFLIC	r counter-ins	SURGENCY VARFARE	SIFICATION	54

SCHOOL OF ADVANCED MILITARY STUDIES MONOGRAPH APPROVAL

Major Robert H. Drumm, Jr.

Saint, Sinner, or Soldier -Title of Monograph:

Liberation Theology and Low

Intensity Conflict

Approved by:

- 138 SYL		Monograph	Director
LTC(P) Gary B. Griffin.	MA		

Director. School of Advanced Military Studies



Trujo J. Brookes. Ph.D.

Director, Graduate Degree Program

Accesion For NTIS CRASI DTIC TAB Unannou.icad Justification Distribution / Availability Codes Avail and for Special

Dist

Accepted this 20th day of Secember 1991

ABSTRACT

SAINT, SINNER, OR SOLDIER - LIBERATION THEOLOGY AND LOW INTENSITY CONFLICT by MAJ Robert H. Drumm, Jr., USA, 54 pages.

This monograph discusses the Army's Low Intensity Conflict (LIC) doctrine and how it applies to Liberation Theology. Both Operation Urgent Fury and Just Cause demonstrated the potential for conventional combat forces to be thrust into a LIC environment. The recent implication of a US Army major in the murder of six Jesuit priests in El Salvador highlights the need to examine LIC doctrine in the context of Liberation Theology. This study will show that Liberation Theology is an ideological base of support for Central American insurrection. This monograph examines LIC doctrine to determine if it provides the tactical commander with the necessary tools to operate in a LIC environment encompassed in Liberation Theology.

The monograph begins with an examination of Liberation Theology and its relationship with Marxism. Next, Liberation Theology is traced from its mid-1960s origins to its present level of political and military influence in Central America. Five Central American countries, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, and Nicaragua, are examined to determine their LIC status, the influence of Liberation Theology, and the potential for US involvement. Next, the Army's current LIC doctrine is analyzed and evaluated from its FM 100-5 (Operations) origin, thru FM 100-20 (Military Operations in Low Intensity Conflict), and finally FM = 100-2-20 (The Threat in Low Intensity Jomini's model for countering an insurgency is used as the evaluation criteria. The result of the analysis was a determination that Army LIC doctrine fails to adequately consider, or provide guidance for, countering a Liberation Theology based insurrection - especially in its most dominant region; Central America.

Finally, recommendations to improve LIC doctrine are suggested. A three tiered approach is proposed. First, \underline{FM} $\underline{100-5}$ needs to be expanded. Second, \underline{FM} $\underline{100-20}$ and \underline{FM} $\underline{100-2-20}$ need to provide more detail on Liberation Theology. The third recommendation, supported by articles from professional journals, is to improve tactical training.

Table of Contents

		Page
I.	Introduction	1
II.	The Evolution of an Ideology	4
III.	Liberation Theology in Central America	12
IV.	LIC Doctrine and Liberation Theology	23
v.	Recommended Changes to LIC Doctrine	31
VI.	Conclusion	38
Endno	ites	41
Bibli	ography	49

PART I: <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

The United States has a "wealth of experience" fighting limited wars during the past 200 years including actions against the Barbary pirates in 1804, the 1 Philippines in 1913, and Panama in 1989. Today the doctrinal term for these types of limited wars is "Low Intensity Conflict" (LIC); and its focus is on Central America. This is primarily due to the numerous insurgencies in the region during the past ten years. Additionally, the recent involvement of a US Army advisor to the El Salvadoran Army in the murder of six Jesuit priests has focused national attention on the 2 area.

The unique interaction of religion and politics in Central America adversely impacts US interest in the 3 region through the doctrine of Liberation Theology. Unlike our traditional view of the role of the parish priest, some clergy in Central America are active politically and militarily in the region's revolutionary movements. Liberation Theology therefore adds a religious dimension to the political and military opposition facing our foreign policy in Central America. In that context, Liberation Theology is neither fully understood nor adequately addressed through current military education, doctrine, or training.

Liberation theology justifies revolution as "a last resort against the greater violence of tyrants - an orthodox Catholic teaching that goes back to Saint Thomas

Aquinas." As murdered Bishop Oscar Romero of Nicaragua stated in 1980, "When all peaceful means have been exhausted, the Church considers insurrection moral and 5 justified." The result is that the church and state are not only separate, but competitors politically, socially, and in accordance with Romero's guidance, militarily. This competition, especially the military aspect, is what complicates LIC in Central America.

The predominance of political objectives at the tactical level makes LIC a unique war-fighting environment for the US soldier. Often in LIC, friends and foes appear the same and in many cases the overwhelming use of armed forces may be counter-productive to achieving both the political and military objectives. Liberation

Theology further complicates these conditions because the church is active politically, while individual priests are active militarily. Therefore, tactical commanders and their soldiers must be prepared to deal with this peculiar aspect of Central American insurgencies.

So what do we teach our soldiers about Liberation
Theology and what do they need to know about it to
accomplish their mission? Do we train our tactical
commanders that LIC involves economic, social,
ideological, political, and in the case of Central
America, religious dimensions. Do we have one LIC
template that all conflicts are supposed to fit into, or
have we included in our analysis of Central American
7
insurgencies the role of Liberation Theology?

An analysis of US doctrine indicates that we have done neither and the cultural predominance of the Catholic Church in Central America, with its ties to Liberation Theology, make it essential that we do. We must train our soldiers to conquer the challenge Liberation Theology presents in the conduct of tactical operations.

Simply stated, this monograph seeks to answer the question: Does the Army's LIC doctrine adequately address or "deal with" the complications of Liberation Theology?

To answer the question this paper is divided into four major sections. First, Liberation Theology is defined with an emphasis on how Marxism has blended with Christianity in Central America. The second section includes an analysis of five Central American countries; Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, and Nicaragua. The current status of LIC, the potential for future US involvement, and the intensity of Liberation Theology is measured in each country. Panama was purposely omitted from the analysis because of the overwhelming historical involvement of the US and its continuing re-emergence as a democracy as a result of Operation Just Cause. The third section analyzes current Army doctrine as it pertains to Liberation Theology.

The evaluation criteria used in the analysis was a model proposed by Jomini to counter insurgency. Briefly stated, the four steps in Jomini's model are:

1. Troops proportional to the threat.

- 2. Calm the popular passions.
- 3. Patience and time.
- 4. Courtesy, gentleness, severity and justice.

The analysis will concentrate on the ability of current doctrine to provide the tactical commander the tools necessary to counter an insurgency with Liberation Theology as its ideological basis. The reader will find, in fact, that the current doctrine is woefully inadequate. The fourth section outlines recommended changes to current LIC doctrine and training.

To appreciate the importance of Liberation Theology in Central America's insurgencies it is first necessary to understand its evolution and how it is applied by the Catholic clergy in the region.

PART II: THE EVOLUTION OF AN IDEOLOGY

Liberation Theology owes its name to the title of a book written in 1971 by Fr. Gustavo Gutierrez of Peru; A 9
Theology of Liberation. The movement is defined by Rubenstein and Roth in The Politics of Latin American Liberation Theology as, "A theological tendency originating in Latin America that has inspired and legitimized Christian involvement in radical social and political 10 movements." Liberation Theology focuses on three stages of political action designed to change society. First, there is sociological analysis to identify forms of exploitation and identify the oppressors of the people. The second phase calls for the exploited masses to be made aware of their abuse - this is called

"conscientisation." The third stage is the action by the oppressed either by political activity, peaceful demonstrations or forming small groups in base communities 11 that may conduct guerrilla warfare.

Liberation Theology is proclaimed as the solution to "the economic, social, and political problems of the 12 poor." Following the tenants of Liberation Theology the local parish priest moves out of his city home into the shanty towns of the impoverished. He lives with them and is the facilitator that guides them towards the 13 conversion of Catholicism into political action. What is the driving force behind Liberation Theology that motivates some Central American clergy to openly support revolution or take-up arms?

Two answers provide a possible solution to the question. First is Crane Brinton's conclusion in The Anatomy of Revolution that revolutionaries insist on having their heaven "here, now, on earth." They are 14 impatient and intend to conquer evil "once and for all." In 1962, the Jesuit publication Mensaje warned that the masses were tired of waiting for the promised reforms in 15 society and demanded swift and thorough changes. The second answer involves a belief by Liberation Theologians that the plight of the poor is caused by the developed "Capitalist" countries.

According to Liberation Theology, these rich countries, in concert with the few wealthy land and production owners, will continue to exploit the working

class poor even to the extent of military intervention to 16 ensure their continued economic advantage. Liberation Theology is the catalyst for the oppressed masses' desire for immediate change - this also describes the essence of Marxist ideology. The connection between Marxism and Liberation Theology is clear. As John Cooper wrote, "Liberation theologians express a growing awareness that revolutionary Christians must form a strategic alliance 17 with Marxist." The obvious tension between the two is that the traditional view of Marxism is anti-Christian. To understand how Liberation The logy applies to Marxism it is necessary to examine how Marx evaluated religion and Christianity.

Marx viewed the church as an organization that enforced the economic and political system which suppressed 18 the people. The working class had to end its exploitation by terminating the capitalist state. To Marx revolution was inevitable. Working within the system to effect the changes he demanded was impossible.

Capitalism could not be defeated "on the terrain of the capitalist class - and that, by definition involves 20 revolution."

In addition to the overthrow of the capitalist state, Marx called for the overthrow of religion because 21 God was the highest form of being for man. Religion was nothing more than an "illusory happiness" that Marx 22 often referred to as the "opium of the masses." To Marx, religion subordinated man, therefore liberation

could only be attained when man recognized himself, not religion, as the supreme being. Religion had to be abolished for man to attain true freedom. This was the struggle of man - to free himself from anything that 23 subordinated him.

The early history of the Catholic Church in Central America exemplified both traits that Marx detested. First it was the essence of a formal religion. Second, it supported the oppression of the people through its political ties to the Iberian aristocracies.

The primary role of the church in colonial Latin 24

America was to legitimize the crown. From 1808 to 1824

Latin America broke away from the colonizing powers of Spain and Portugal but the church continued to side with 25

the crown. There were exceptions, however. For example, in the early 1800's, Mexican clergymen Fr. Miguel Hidalgo and Fr. Jose Maria Morelos actively fought for "the dispossessed and oppressed." Eventually, and with the approval of the Vatican, the two priests were executed 26 for their activities.

As Central America struggled for stability numerous dictatorships, coups and fledgling democracies evolved. The church became the "middle ground" and the only societal constant in each of the countries. Capitalism was the government's economic system of choice and although land owners were getting wealthier the peasants remained poor. As priests and nuns worked at the local

level they became increasingly more disenchanted with their own activities. They subsisted on the contributions of the poor and began to question a system that approved of what they believed to be an unjust 27 social order. Phillip Berryman, an outspoken advocate of Liberation Theology, summarized the situation and placed the blame squarely on the government's economic system (capitalism) when he wrote, "People do not simply happen to be poor; their poverty is largely a product of the way 28 society is organized." As the clergy continued to question the struggle of the poor two events provided the catalyst for the rise of Liberation Theology in Latin America: Vatican Council II of 1962 -1965 and the Medellin Conference in August 1968.

Liberation Theology's theoretical base began with the second Vatican Council. For the first time the church endorsed attempts to move away from its historical alignment with the middle and upper class of society and expand its efforts toward helping the poor. The council provided a basis for documents on Liberation Theology which would eventually emerge from the Second General Conference of the Latin American Episcopate (CELAM) in 29 Medellin, Columbia in 1968.

After Vatican II a small group of priests in Argentina formed an organization called "The Movement of Priests for the Third World." Their mission was to educate and mobilize the poor under what they perceived to be a charter of the Vatican II. Similar groups were

formed in Peru, Mexico and Columbia and were effective in 30 working with the poor in the fields and schools.

In August 1967, seven Brazilian bishops authored a letter endorsing the overthrow of government by writing that, "some revolutions have been necessary." The bishops urged Christians to follow socialism "as a form of social life better adapted to our times, more in 31 keeping with the Gospel."

As a result of these groups the Latin American clergy began to organize, write, and teach each other.

Just prior to the Medellin meeting opening, 1,000 priests throughout Latin America addressed an open letter to the bishops attending the conference. They stated that the cause of the people's suffering was "the existing 32 political, economic, and social system."

In August 1968, the Second Latin American Bishops
Conference was held in Medellin, Columbia to discuss
Vatican II's doctrine and form plans to implement its
own decisions. The conference produced a report often
33
referred to as the "Magna Carta" for Liberation Theology.

The bishops committed the church to share the conditions of the poor as a sign of solidarity and called for Christians to be involved in the transformation of society. Revolutionaries were defined as those who sought radical change and who believed that people should 34 determine their own future. One of the major recommendations of the conference was the drive "for people to create and develop their own grass-roots

organizations for the redress and consolidation of their 35 rights and the search for true justice. From this concept evolved the "Cummunidaded Eclesiales de Base" or 36 Christian Based Community (CBC).

Some suggested that CBCs should be the building blocks on which the parish should be built. The network of CBCs would be organized by priests and nuns who would 37 act as trainers, facilitators, and spiritual guides. Although the theory may have been non-revolutionary the system was soon overtaken by Liberation Theologians who utilized the network to spread their ideology to the masses.

As a result of the social reordering of the church's priorities it quickly became a "quasi-official" social instrument of the peasants in Latin America. The Catholic Church attempted to change its image from an institutional bureaucracy to a people's church by three actions. First, mass was allowed to be said in the local language. Second, human rights and social problems were considered "major issues" by the church requiring action. Third, the church began to interpret God's work through 38 "human progress." The church now evaluated its success by the improvement in the plight of the poor and oppressed.

By the end of the Medellin conference everything was in place for the growth of Liberation Theology. Fr. Gustavo Gutierrez, the recognized founder and leading writer of Liberation Theology, believed that change must

come quickly and can only be achieved through revolution. In his opinion the situation called for radical change of the social and economic structure if the oppressed were 39 to be free. He called for the abolition of the private ownership of capitalism because it led to "the 40 exploitation of man by men."

Gutierrez and his fellow Liberation Theologians viewed the new role of the church as committing itself to social justice, to raising the consciousness of the masses, and making them aware of the abuses that they suffered. Finally, they preached the need to unite in 41 order to change the political system. They determined that the root cause of Central American poverty was the exploitation by the developed countries; and since these countries were capitalist, then capitalism was to blame.

The union of Marxism and Catholicism in Liberation
Theology came at this point. Fr. Gutierrez argued that
Marx didn't invent the class struggle, but instead
analyzed the cause and demonstrated how it could lead to
a classless society. Fr. Gutierrez believed that the
Liberation Theologian has a duty to make the working
class aware of the class struggle and their need to take
43
part in it. Unlike the early ideological conflict
dividing Christianity and Marxism, through the act of
revolution they were freed. Its result is the end of
capitalism which opens new doors for the church under
socialism. In other words, there must be revolution for to accept the status quo is to accept the dominance

44

of capitalism over the world. Are Liberation Theologians then "Marxist in clerical clothing?" Donald Davidson writing in <u>Parameters</u> believes the answer is definitely "yes." He cites Fr. Ernesto Cardenal's description of himself as a "Marxist, a follower of Christ, and a 45 revolutionary" as a prime example.

At this point it is clear that Liberation Theology's roots come from an untraditional partner - Marxism. The political and economic conditions in Central America make the area easily susceptible to Liberation Theology.

Vatican II and the Medellin Conference firmly inplaced an awareness of the plight of the poor and institutionalized CBCs as a simple way to recruit and spread revolution in the region.

PART III: LIBERATION THEOLOGY IN CENTRAL AMERICA

The intensity of Liberation Theology currently in Central America is often proportional to the historical role played by the Catholic Church in each of the individual countries. Each country started with almost identical roots and they share many similarities. Yet, Liberation Theology is more active in Nicaragua and El Salvador than Costa Rica, Guatemala, and Honduras. Differences can be explained by this analysis of each country's past. Projections for Liberation Theology inspired low intensity conflicts of the future can also be determined.

COSTA RICA

Costa Rica joined with the other countries of the Central American region with a declaration of independence from Spain in 1821. The country has remained somewhat politically stable throughout the 20th century except for two revolts in 1917-1919 and 1948. Democratically elected governments, although socialist in political ideology, have predominated Costa Rica politics for the last 22 years. As with most of its neighboring Central American countries Costa Rica has seen its political stability directly linked with its economic In 1988 internal unrest became more open capabilities. as workers mobilized in protest to President Arias' austerity policies. The 1990 election, in which 80% of the people voted, was fueled by the implication of drug trafficking by government officials and resulted in the election of Rafel Angel Calderon Fournier, a Christiansocialist, as president. President Calderon instituted a two year austerity program in an attempt to maintain an economic growth rate similar to the 1980s.

Costa Rica is the most stable country in Central

America and the possibility of an insurrection is
51
minimal. The country has been able to maintain its
official position of neutrality since its declaration in
November 1983. To demonstrate its neutrality in 1986,
Costa Rica established a full-time commission to secure
its northern boarder with Nicaragua and eliminated Contra
activity in the country by closing down airstrips,

52

hospitals and staging bases.

Costa Rica continues to act as a model for US

efforts to build stability in El Salvador, Honduras and
53

Guatemala. President Calderon's policies are attempting
54

to integrate Costa Rica into the world economy. If he is
successful the country should remain politically stable
and its position of neutrality uncompromised.

Liberation Theology failed to gain a foothold in Costa Rica. Phillip Berryman admitted the movement had no base in Costa Rica because, "the mechanism of formal 55 democracy remains ..." Although two guerilla groups are active in Costa Rica, the Ejercito del Pueplo Costarricense (EPC) and the Patria y Libertad, the country has shown a remarkable ability to solve internal problems through free and democratic elections. It is unlikely there will be any near-future involvement in 56 Costa Rica by US forces.

EL SALVADOR

El Salvador is one of the countries in Central

America where Liberation Theology has been most active.

El Salvador achieved its independence from Spain in 1839

and from the time of its initial independence to the

present the country has been plagued by coups,

revolution, and recently a 10 year insurrection that

57

continues to threaten its fragile democracy. In 1969 war

broke out with Honduras as a result of animosities over a

soccer game. The Organization of American States (OAS)

intervened and arranged a cease fire and withdrawal of El 58 Salvadoran soldiers after four days.

In 1980, under mounting pressure from the US, the military junta installed Jose Napoleon Duarte as the 59 first civilian head of state since 1931. 1980 also saw the organization of the left-wing guerrilla group whose goal was the pursuit of its political aims through violence - the Frente Farabundo Marti de Liberacion Nacional (FMLN). The civil war which ensued with the formation of the FMLN resulted in over 70,000 casualties from 1980-1989.

In 1989 Alfredo Cristiani was elected president and 60 continues to fight a civil war against the FMLN. The recent peace initiatives by the United Nations to negotiate a cease fire between the government and the 61 FMLN have only been marginally successful.

The murder of Archbishop Oscar Romero in 1980, the rape-murder of American nuns the same year, and execution of six Jesuit priests in 1989 thrust Liberation Theology into the forefront of the civil war in El Salvador, 62 even though it was active for over a decade. Fr. Jose Alas started forming CBCs in El Salvador in 1968. Within one year there were 32 CBCs from which he helped organize the FAPU, a political organization which represented a direct link between the church in El Salvador and the 63 revolution.

Charges by the church of military death squads were countered by the government with charges of participation

by the clergy in armed insurrection; such as the government's claim that priests were involved in the 1989 64 attack on the Armed Forces General Command. In fact, President Cristiani is struggling to maintain control over a military that views the clergy as a major supporter of the insurrection. There is merit in the military's position. For example, in 1986 the FMLN was responsible for over 1.0 billion dollars worth of damage to the El Salvadoran infrastructure and Liberation Theology must assume a portion of the responsibility as 65 an ideology which supports and promotes the insurrection.

The potential for increased U.S. involvement in El Salvador is linked to the current peace initiative. If a cease-fire and subsequent negotiations lead to peace then US military involvement will be minimal.

A possible scenario that may result in additional US military action could come as a result of increased FMLN activity and possible collapse of the Cristiani government. The President may decide that security and stability in El Salvador is in our national interest and deploy combat forces to help defeat the FMLN and rebuild the nation. If that option evolves, Liberation Theology and the Catholic Church will be major actors and possible adversaries.

GUATEMALA

Guatemala gained its independence in 1823 after two years of occupation by Mexico. From 1839-1849, Rafel Carrera's conservative government consolidated the power

of the ruling class and restored the dominant position 66 of the Catholic Church. His presidency was followed by a series of dictatorships interspersed with short durations of constitutional government and anarchy.

The ten years between 1944-1954 were highlighted by agrarian reform when the government eliminated private land holdings and distributed them to the poor. In 1954 Col. Carlos Casrillo Armas led a US backed coup against the government which was viewed as a communist threat to 67 the region.

The 1970s and '80s were decades of political terrorism as labor and political leaders were killed and several foreign diplomats were murdered. In February 1982 the leading guerrilla groups united and formed the Unidad Revolutionaria Nacional Guatemaletca (URNG). They declared they would continue to oppose the government and 68 fight for revolutionary change in Guatemala.

As a result of pressure from the US Congress to withhold economic aid the military allowed free 69 elections in 1986. Vinico Cerezo was elected president and immediately began to try to establish a functional government while appeasing the military, eradicate guerrilla activity, solve the country's economic problems, and restore the country's tarnished image in 70 the world community.

By 1989 guerrilla activity failed to diminish. In May 1990, the US recalled its ambassador in protest to a combination of perceived human rights violations and the

failure of the government to control right-wing 71 extremist.

The rise of Liberation Theology in Guatemala received its momentum from the Maryknoll's Cursillos de Capacitacion. This program began with the approval of the dictatorship as an anti-communist program but provided experiences to the priests and nuns that "transformed them into revolutionaries with a profound 72 sense of class struggle." By the mid-1980s the clergy was politically active and perceived as a threat by the government. Two priests were reportedly murdered and a bishop ambushed during this same time. Although Liberation Theology failed to gain the same popular support as in El Salvador and Nicaragua, reports in 1988 indicated a "reawakening" of social concerns and 74 speaking out by the bishops of Guatemala.

The possibility of the US becoming involved in the insurgency in Guatemala is minimal. Although the guerrillas have been successful in disrupting some of the country's infrastructure the government of President Cerezo remains dominant - at the leisure of the 75 military. Guatemala has remained one of the least involved countries in Central American conflicts and all indications are that it will remain that way.

HONDURAS

Mark Falcoff, in his 1988 article "Making Central America Safe for Communism," states that Honduras is 76 Central America's poorest and most backward republic.

Honduras has struggled to secure economic, political, and social stability since it gained independence from Spain 77 in 1821, but it has met with little success.

From 1932 to 1980 the country experienced two dictatorships and five military coups. In 1980 the military succumbed to US pressure for free elections, which resulted in the presidency of the Liberal Party leader, Roberto Suarzo Cordona. The 1986 presidential elections resulted in Jose Azcona's election. This was the first time in 55 years that freely elected 78 governments followed each other.

On 27 January 1990, President Rafael Leonardo

Callejas was sworn-in as Honduras' third consecutive
79
freely elected president. Even with the free election of
the president the military continues to control most of
Honduras' governmental decisions. As one Honduras
colonel responded after rejecting President Callejas'
request for a visitor to enter the country, "He's only
80
the President."

Honduras' experience with LIC centers around its struggle with Nicaragua in the 1980s. Confrontations with Nicaragua began in 1980 with Contra bases in Honduras. In 1980 and 1981 Nicaraguan forces crossed Honduras' border to destroy Contra bases and small scale clashes resulted.

In April 1988, 32,000 US soldiers deployed to

Honduras to support the government's attempt to counter
81

Nicaraguan cross boarder operations. As the rule of the

Sandinistas in Nicaragua ended and the Contras disbanded, Honduras focused its attention against its largest organized guerrilla group, the Directorio Nacional Unido 82 (DNU).

The potential for continued US involvement in Honduras is obvious. Since the early 1980s the US, especially the Army, has had a major role in the country. The US made maximum use of Honduras' geographic location to support the Contras and in 1983 opened a training school for Honduras and El Salvadoran soldiers. In 1986 there were 1,500 US soldiers permanently stationed in Honduras as part of JTF-B and by 1987 the number of US soldiers in country rose to 9,700. In 1988 riots against US presence were caused when US forces arrested a Honduras national suspected of drug trafficking. addition, US installations and soldiers have been attacked by the DNU every year since 1988. The possibility of an expanded role for the US in Honduras may be linked to counter-guerrilla operations to stabilize the Honduran government and thus secure a US presence (JTF-B) in the geographical center of Central America.

The role of Liberation Theology in Honduras has been minimal. In the early 1970s Fr. J. Guadalupe Carney began to organize CBCs in Honduras. Fr. Carney was a US citizen who fought in WWII and later became a Jesuit priest. By 1973 he spoke out against the Jesuit's teaching school to the "ruling class" in Honduras and he

began to view Marxism and Christianity as compatible.

Fr. Carney was exiled from Honduras even though he became a naturalized citizen. After several years working in northern Nicaragua he reentered Honduras in 1983 and died 84 while operating with armed guerrillas. The ability of Liberation Theology to rise in Honduras is minimal. The church participates little in Honduran political and social activities due to the domination of the military. As a result there is little change expected in the future.

NICARAGUA

In 1838 Nicaragua gained its independence and organized under a constitution. For more than three decades the US influenced Nicaragua's internal political structure. US military intervention began in 1912 when U.S. Marines landed and administered the country. In 1926, with the threat of revolt growing, the Marines returned and fought the guerillas to a stalemate the following year. In 1933 President Roosevelt withdrew the Marines as part of the "Good Neighbor" policy.

The events which resulted in the eventual Sandinista revolution and rise to power began in 1935 with the overthrow of President Juan Bautista by the head of the National Guard, Anastsio Somoza Garcia. Samoza assumed the presidency in 1937, was assassinated in 1956, and his son, Luis Samoza, followed him to power.

In 1961 the Nicaraguan insurgents organized as the Sandinista National Liberation Front (Frente Sandinista

de Liberacion National - FSLN). In 1975, Samoza responded to increased guerrilla threats with a declaration of a nationwide state of emergency. By May 1979 the FSLN changed its tactics from guerrilla warfare to a full scale conventional military operations which 85 drove Samoza from Nicaragua.

The 1979 revolution was the most broad-based and popularly supported insurrection in the history of Latin 86 America. Former National Guard and Samoza supporters formed an anti-Sandinista movement and with the backing of the US began to operate From Honduras and El Salvador. The government gained widespread initial support for its programs to rebuild Nicaragua economically and politically, but after years of oppression and dissatisfaction, Daniel Ortega and his Sandinista Party 87 were voted out of office on 25 February 1990.

President Violeta Chamorro's presidency is unstable and continues to strive for economic and social progress in the country. Her task is to, "keep her promise to dismantle the Sandinista state without provoking the Sandinistas, who still control the armed forces and police and remain the largest organized party in the 88 country." The Catholic Church, which had such a predominant role in the rise of the Sandinistas to power, has been relatively quiet up to now.

Liberation Theology's roots in Nicaragua began when Fr. Ernesto Cardenal and Fr. Uriel Molina founded the first CBCs in Nicaragua in the late '60s and early

'70s. The CBCs in Managua grew to become some of the most politically active and major recruiting bases for 89 the Sandinistas. One Sandinista organizer even referred 90 to the CBCs as "quarries." Priests such as Miguel Bachman, Edgar Parrales, Fenando Cardenal, and his brother Ernesto Cardenal openly joined the FSLN and played a major role in the government after the fall of 91 Samoza.

The possibility of US involvement in Nicaragua is linked to the stability of the Chamorro presidency. Would the US intervene in Nicaragua in response to a Sandinista coup? Our actions in Panama and Grenada lead to an answer of "yes."

This analysis of Central America demonstrates that the US may get directly involved in three of the five nations discussed. The Army is already involved in two; El Salvador and Honduras. To accomplish the mission our soldiers will be facing they must be trained to operate in an environment where they understand that Liberation Theology is the ideological base of the insurrection. The Army's LIC doctrine will be the key to the success, but the doctrine fails to adequately address Liberation Theology.

PART IV: LIC DOCTRINE AND LIBERATION THEOLOGY

The analysis of the five Central American countries and their struggle for independence has highlighted two major factors. First, each country has attempted to

combat its insurgency through the application of military force that the majority of time has inflicted enormous casualties on the civilian population. Part of the problem is the difficulty distinguishing between guerrilla and civilian. Whatever the reason, the result has been to alienate a large portion of the population and support the insurgent's claims that the current government is oppressive. The second point is that Liberation Theology has been a major actor in the insurgencies in Nicaragua, El Salvador and Honduras and to a lesser extent in Costa Rica and Guatemala. Adding to the destabilization of the region, and thus increasing the potential for US involvement, are two unresolved territorial and security issues between Nicaragua and Honduras, and Honduras and El Salvador. If US forces are introduced into Central America, will they face the same problems as the host government? Yes, unless the Army incorporates Liberation Theology into its doctrine and training. Jomini provides a starting point for the analysis of the current doctrine that a tactical commander deployed to Central America would rely upon to form training plans and conduct operations.

Antoine Henri Jomini may have experienced

Liberation Theology long before Central America claimed

it as a birthplace. Jomini's extensive work with the

French Army gave him the experience of fighting in Spain

and Portugal where the Catholic Church maintained a power

base similar to that of Central America. He acknowledged

the power of religion as a motivating force when he wrote, "for it excites the ardor of the people, and often 93 creates a closely-knit party."

Jomini recognized the utility of religion as a dogma but he also witnessed its ability to mobilize simple people, people usually not viewed as combatants, to fight in violent means. He saw the blending of guerrilla warfare with what may be seen as Liberation Theology when he wrote of his experiences in the Iberian Peninsula:

I acknowledge that my prejudices are in favor of the good old times when the French and English Guards courteously invited each other to fire first - as at Fontenoy - preferring them to the frightful epoch when priests, women, and children throughout Spain plotted the murder of isolated soldiers. (94)

To attack the problem of an insurrection Jomini recommended four steps. These may be consider Jomini's model for fighting a counter-insurgency:

- 1. Make a display of a mass of troops proportioned to the obstacles and resistance likely to be encountered.
- 2. Calm the popular passions in every way.
- 3. Exhaust them by time and patience.
- 4. Display courtesy, gentleness, and severity, and (particularly) deal justly. (95)

To counter a Liberation Theology based insurgency in Central America the tactical commander will train his soldiers and lead them in accordance with Army doctrine. Three publications form the basis for LIC doctrine. FM 100-5, "Operations," is the Army's capstone warfighting manual. FM 100-20, "Military Operations in Low Intensity Conflict," is the Army's basic doctrinal manual for LIC. The third publication, FM 100-2-20, "The Threat in Low

Intensity Conflict," provides regional data, expands information on insurgency and counter-insurgency operations and, although a draft manual, will become part of doctrine upon its final approval. Those manuals considered, does our current doctrine adequately deal with Liberation Theology and provide the tactical commander a foundation for success? The answer is "no."

LIC is discussed in seven paragraphs in FM 100-5. LIC "is the conflict environment in which the US has been most engaged since WWII, and that is the most likely threat environment of the future." Given this future involvement, the manual's seven paragraphs are inadequate to convey to the tactical commander the increasing possibility of our fighting in the LIC environment. Although it indirectly complies with Jomini's fourth step by calling for "restraint in the execution of military operation. "(sic) it fails to adequately address any ideological basis of support for insurgencies which lead to LIC. This is an error that must be corrected in order to provide the tactical commander an understanding of the conflict in which he is involved. Since the capstone manual provides little guidance, the tactical commander's next step would be to reference the Army's publication completely devoted to military operations in LIC.

The Army's doctrine for LIC is published in <u>FM 100-20</u>. <u>Military Operations in Low Intensity Conflict</u>. The manual defines LIC as:

a political-military confrontation

between contending states or groups below conventional warfare and above routine peaceful competition among states. It frequently involves protracted struggles of competing principles and ideologies. LIC ranges from subversion to the use of armed force. It is waged by a combination of means, employing political, economic, informational, and military instruments. (98)

FM 100-20's explanation of LIC highlights four areas that are strikingly similar with Liberation Theology in Central America. First, the conflicts in Central America have been a protracted struggle. For example, the insurrection in El Salvador has been sustained by the activities of the clergy for over ten years. The overthrow of Samoza in Nicaragua began almost 20 years before the victory of the Sandinistas. Second, these struggles are of competing ideologies. In simplest terms they are struggles of Democracy and Capitalism against Liberation Theology and Marxism. Many believe that these struggles can only be resolved through revolution.

Richard Rubenstein, co-editor of The Politics of Latin American Liberation Theology, describes the reason for the confrontation:

If, as Marxist theoreticians claim, capitalist development fosters Third World underdevelopment, the poverty and misery of Latin America's masses will not be overcome by political or economic reforms which leave the present system more or less in tact. (99)

Third, the conflicts in Central America have different characteristics. They range from the subversion witnessed in Guatemala and Honduras to the use of well-equiped forces in El Salvador and Nicaragua. The

fourth point of similarity is that the Liberation

Theology in Central America has been very successful in
the application of political, economic, informational,
and military means; especially in El Salvador and
Nicaragua.

Although the manual provides numerous generalities that correspond to insurgencies in Central America it is deficient in its analysis of ideology. Chapter 2, FM 100-20 states, "Unfortunately for the analyst, insurgents are not likely to describe their ideology in specific detail. This is not the case concerning Liberation Theology in Central America. The problem with the manual's discussion of ideology centers on the last sentence in the four paragraphs the manual dedicates to the subject; "In addition, the analyst's own cultural bias may make it difficult for him to distinguish statements of ideology and strategic objectives from propaganda." Our Western cultural bias makes it difficult to link religion with an insurgency. The result is a failure to strike at one of the major ideological bases of Central American insurgencies -Liberation Theology. This ideological inadequacy additionally highlights the tactical deficiency of the manual.

FM 100-20 provides little guidance to the tactical commander for the conduct of operations. For example, "Tactical operations by US forces against insurgents will be an unusual occurrence resulting from unique

experiences. The manual assumes that LIC operations will be conducted primarily by Special Operations Forces (SOF). Operations in Grenada and Panama have shown this "unusual occurrence" isn't quite as unique as described in the manual.

Appendix E of FM 100-20, "A guide to

Counterinsurgency Operations," centers on the Internal

Defense and Development (IDAD) concept. The four

principles of this concept (Unity of effort; Maximum use

of intelligence; Minimum use of violence; and a

Responsive government) have some similarities to Jomini's

model, but it is incomplete because the concept focuses

on the host nation government and does not provide a

102

methodology for a tactical commander. Even the section

entitled "Security Force Planning and Operations"

continues to focus on host nation actions. There must be

a focus for the tactical commander. Ideally, that focus

may be found in the Army's manual that analyzes the LIC

threat.

FM 100-2-20, "The Threat in Low Intensity Conflict (Coordinating Draft)," identifies potential conflict areas in Southern Command's (SOUTHCOM's) Area of responsibility (AOR) from 1990-2020. Included in its analysis are internal conflicts in El Salvador, Honduras, and Guatemala. According to the manual these conflicts will resemble guerrilla warfare and insurgency activities similar to earlier phases of the FMLN activities in El

103
Salvador. Unfortunately, the manual provides inadequate linkage between Liberation Theology and Central American insurgencies.

FM 100-2-20 (Coordinating Draft), only has a small section which defines Liberation Theology as:

radical wings of the Roman Catholic Church and Protestant denominations, who espouse a new day for the poor they serve, have supported revolutionaries in their struggle for classless societies. This approach, referred to as Liberation Theology, is growing in frequency, especially in Latin America and the Philippines. Priests, nuns, ministers, and lay people are apparently agreeing with revolutionaries that armed struggle is necessary to relieve suffering in the developed world. (104)

Although this explanation provides the Army a definition of Liberation Theology, it inadequately describes the strength of the ideology or its' presence 105 in Africa and Asia. It fails to account for the linkage of Liberation Theology with Marxism, fails to discuss the organizational structure of the movement (CBCs), and "side steps" the issue of priests and other clergy actively participating in the insurgencies. Compounding this problem is that Chapter 8 (Trends in LIC Threats), describes insurgencies in Latin America in only two sentences; neither of which mentions Liberation Theology as a base of ideological support. The manual does not provide the tactical commander any specific guidance for countering the ideology. If US combat forces are introduced into these conflicts the Army's training, in accordance with its doctrine, will be the key to success

- and our doctrine is severely lacking in specific guidance. The recommendations which follow do not suggest rewriting LIC doctrine, but modifying it.

Fortunately, several articles in professional journals indicate that officers have been working toward that end.

PART V: RECOMMENDED CHANGES TO LIC DOCTRINE

LIC doctrine does not require wholesale changes, but rather modifications to the current publications and training focus. The tactical commander requires sufficient information on Liberation Theology to initiate a training plan that will lead to successful mission execution in Central America. A three-tiered approach is suggested.

First, modify the capstone manual, FM 100-5, to include Jomini's model as a method of defeating an insurgency. Second, expand both FM 100-20 and FM 100-2-20 to include detailed discussions of Liberation Theology. Third, provide aggressive training for tactical units. This training should focuses on specific actions, based on Jomini's model, to defeat a Liberation Theology based insurrection. Soldiers will look for guidance first from their army's doctrine.

It is essential that the Army's capstone manual, <u>FM 100-5</u>, include more detail on LIC. Jomini's model provides excellent methodology for "up front" guidance and gives the tactical commander a head start on formulating his training plan. Since <u>FM 100-5</u> is a

capstone manual, specific details for countering

Liberation Theology should be incorporated in <u>FM 100-20</u>,

the Army's primary low intensity conflict operations

manual.

LIC doctrine's starting point is its solid foundation in the laws of land warfare. This foundation has many similarities to Jomini's model that can easily be incorporated into the FM 100-20. The laws of land warfare, combined with Jomini's model, provide a methodology for countering an insurgency; in this case Liberation Theology in Central America. The manual must focus more on defeating an insurgency at the ideological base of support. The current manual lacks specific guidance - Jomini's model offers specific action.

An expanded analysis of insurgency in FM 100-20 should include Jomini's four step model. In accordance with Jomini's model, the discussion should first include the display of US troops which must be proportional to the threat. For most countries the introduction of US combat forces is the last measure to secure survival of the threatened regime. Foreign soldiers, especially the appearance of US forces in Central America, compounds the legitimacy problem of the host government. LTC Rudolph Barnes' May 1990 Military Review article, "The Diplomat Warrior," linked the need for tight control of the US forces with legitimacy by writing that US forces, "must meet a difficult double test of legitimacy; they must contribute to the political legitimacy of supported

forces and also be legitimate by US standards." The host government must convince key political groups that its policies are reasonable and the use of its own and 108 outside troops will be tightly controlled. Although the commander will deploy with sufficient forces to secure his unit's personnel and equipment and accomplish assigned missions it will still be the responsibility of the host nation to bear the burden to "ultimately defeat the insurgency and eliminate the internal conditions 109 which bred it."

FM 100-20 must additionally include the linkage of Jomini's second step with Special Operations Forces (SOF) and conventional tactical units. Jomini called for calming the popular passions and exhausting them. This will be conducted by the host nation forces under the supervision of US units. One dimension of this step is that special operations forces such as psychological operations (PSYOPS) and Special Forces (SF) "share 110 leading roles" with Civil Affairs (CA) in LIC.

Conventional tactical forces execute Jomini's second step by isolating the CBCs from the revolutionaries (Liberation Theologians). A conventional war requires a nation's support to maintain the industrial and political base. Today it is not necessary for an insurgency to maintain mass support. Small groups, expanding on an abundance of internal problems, can generate enough violence to destroy some Central American

governments. To eliminate Liberation Theology's base of support a major objective must be isolation of the theologians from the CBCs. This becomes the primary focus of conventional forces in step two. Melissa Barnes' 1989 CLIC Papers article summarized the importance of the CBCs to Liberation Theology and the need to isolate the communities from the insurgents. Her paper, "Liberation Theology in Central America," highlights the impact of the CBC on the citizen, "Their political ideas will be shaped by Marxist preachers, and, therefore, the peasants will never know the options of 112 more democratic ideas."

The key to defeating a Liberation Theology inspired insurgency in Central America will be to incorporate Jomini's third and fourth points into all actions both by US and host nation forces. Years of alleged human rights violations, death squads, and in particular, the execution of priests and nuns have aided the insurgents' claim that the government is oppressive. Patient action, combined with courtesy, gentleness, justice, and prosecution of convicted insurgents within the fullest extent of the law (Jomini's "severity") can do more to reverse decades of hostility by the military and eliminate the insurgents base of support than any sum of money. To guard against its negative image, host nation armies "must be schooled in human rights doctrine and 113 capable of enforcing it in their force." As LTC Jimmie

Holt's March 1990 article in Military Review outlined,
"Such a strategy would in effect attack the guerrillas
from the rear and transfer the advantages of better
intelligence, surprise and total initiative to the
114
government army."

For US combat forces the laws of land warfare and US policies must specifically address Jomini's third and fourth steps of patient action while displaying courtesy, gentleness, severity and justice. The utility of the suggested additions to FM 100-20 is that it provides a specific methodology for defeating a Liberation Theology inspired insurgency. Additionally, FM 100-2-20 should be expanded to discuss the role of the clergy as a possible threat in low intensity conflicts.

Applying the laws of land warfare to LIC signals an escalation of hostilities from "simply sporadic criminal 115 violence" to combat. Unfortunately, once this is done the insurgents gain a degree of legitimacy as an organized force. This assists their propaganda campaign in that the guerrillas, as Phillip Berryman notes, are now considered legitimate combatants, not 'criminals' or 116 'terrorist.'"

Liberation Theology provides an additional problem for US forces because it involves the clergy as active members of the insurgency. Priests, such as Fr. Cardenal of El Salvador in 1977, forfeit their status as a combatant in attacking government installations because they fail to follow the laws of recognition as a

belligerent. In this case, they are considered common criminals and are not entitled to treatment as prisoners of war. As a result, they may be tried and sentenced to 117 execution or imprisonment. If he preaches insurrection and revolution on Sunday what is his status?

The law of war states that ministers shall be permitted to, "give spiritual assistance to the members of their religious communities." The law also states that aiding the enemy is, "applicable to all persons whether subject to military law or not and without regard to citizenship or military or civil status." Since CBCs have been the "quarries" for Liberation Theology, allowing unconstrained access would be tactically unsound. Priests and other clergy who profess Liberation Theology attempt to utilize the Western perception of a noncombatant's immunity while simultaneously acting in a manner that undermines the same immunity. Additionally, in some cases the movement of religious personnel may be restricted to prevent their acquisition of information. But the tactical commander must be aware of the political consequences of restricting the movement of or detaining clergy in their own country. As LTC Barnes noted in his article, "diplomacy is essential to achieve politicomilitary objectives."

Liberation Theology in Central America will present challenges requiring leaders down to the lowest level that are trained to recognize the interface between 123 social, political, economic and military elements.

Several authors in professional journals have identified the need for increased LIC training along these lines and their efforts can form the basis for improvements.

Corps Gazette focused on LIC training deficiencies. He stated, "Historically, conventional forces have had difficulty dealing with unconventional opponents or suspected supporters who have been captured or detained 124 (e.g. My Lai), LIC operations require special training."

LTC Holt's article, entitled, "LIC in Central America: Training Implications for the US Army," offers a starting point. He identified two elements in building a 125 LIC training strategy. These two points can be used by a tactical commander fighting a Liberation Theology based insurrection in Central America.

First, the tactical commander must understand the nature of the conflict as well as the relationship to US 126 objectives. We have embarked on a "nation-building" strategy to defeat insurgencies by mobilizing military, economic, political, and social assistance to requesting 127 nations. Only through understanding this objective can the tactical commander train his force to conduct missions that support operational and strategic plans which accomplish the required end-state.

The second element in LTC Holt's plan is the necessity for every leader to be familiar with the guerrilla mind-set and the basics of what constitutes his

will to fight. The guerrilla's mind-set is to fight a protracted war, disrupting the country's infrastructure, and discrediting the local government as weak and ineffective. His will to fight is based on political ambition and revolution that is supported by Liberation Theology's integration with Marxism's promised freedom of the oppressed masses. It is essential that the tactical commander understand the motives as well as the objectives of the insurgent in order to predict his 129 behavior. Utilizing LTC Holt's two elements, the tactical unit commander can incorporate Jomini's model for defeating the insurgency.

If the SOUTHCOM assessment is correct, it is possible combat forces will be applied in Central America before the year 2020. The Army's current LIC doctrine provides a basic framework to train our soldiers. It must, however, be expanded to include training for a specific threat in Central America that utilizes Liberation Theology as its ideological base.

PART VI: CONCLUSION

Liberation Theology is more than just the ideological component of the insurgencies in Central America. It represents the church in its historical position as the vehicle for facilitating change. Without the church there would be no Liberation Theology, and without Liberation Theology, Marxism would have been

unable to spread through Central America as rapidly as it 130 has.

The church in Central America stands at a crossroads in its history. During its turbulent history, the
region traditionally maintained neutrality as
dictatorships evolved and elected governments dissolved.

In the 1960s a small group of priests began to write
about the repressive governments and suffering of the
people and called for change. By the 1970s Liberation
Theology had evolved into an ideology that combined
Christianity and Marxism into revolutionary effort
throughout the region including its victory in Nicaragua.
The church's position in the 1990s will depend on its
accompanying "the Latin American people" and "may well
determine whether it becomes a marginal or a potent force
131
in the Latin American society of the future."

The tactical commander faces an enormous challenge in Central America. LIC doctrine, although providing a framework for conducting operations, attempts to "template" all insurgencies into a mold that Liberation Theology does not fit. The tactical commander cannot "push the problem into the shape of the template, rather 132 than cut the template to fit the problem." A possible solution may be to utilize Jomini's model.

Jomini proposed a model to defeat an insurgency that could be adapted by a tactical commander to Liberation Theology in a LIC environment. His model has four steps which are designed to eliminate the ideological base of

support as the means of defeating the insurgency. The key step in the process is the ability of the military force to diplomatically control the population. Jomini's model requires leaders that understands not only the political, economic, military, and social situation in Central America but also the influence of the church in the region.

The demise of Marxism, especially as we are currently witnessing in the Soviet Union, does not signal the end of Liberation Theology for two major reasons. First, dissatisfaction with the status-quo in Central America continues. Second, the capability to organize still exists as CBCs and the traditional church structure 133 remain functional organizations.

Although the official position of the Catholic
Church has been a rejection of the political and military
aspects of Liberation Theology, the activist members of
the clergy, according to Rubenstein, "are not likely to
be permanently deterred by anything the Vatican says or
134
does." The number of priests and other clergy that have
joined the guerrillas in the last 20 years has been
relatively minor considering the total population,
but what is most important, especially to the tactical
commander, is the willingness of this small group of
clergy, these "shepherds of the flock," to die for
135
Liberation Theology.

ENDNOTES

- 1. Experience in LIC was paraphrased from David S. Silverstein, "Preparing to Win Low-Intensity Conflicts," National Defense, 75 (December 1990), p. 28.
- 2. Information on the implication of the Army Major was summarized from Christopher Marquis, "U.S. Major in Salvador 'accepted' Jesuit Killings," The Miami Herald, 5 July 1991, sec. A, p. 1.
- 3. Interaction of Liberation Theology was paraphrased from Melissa K. Barnes, "Liberation Theology in Central America," <u>CLIC Papers</u>, (Langley Air Force Base, Virginia: Army-Air Force Center for Low Intensity Conflict, June 1989), p. v.
- 4. Relationship of Liberation Theology and policy was paraphrased from Donald L. Davidson, "Liberation Theology and the Religious Roots of Rebellion," <u>Parameters</u>, 16 (Summer 1986), p. 77.
- 5. Both quotations in this paragraph and the information concerning Romero were taken from Benjamin Keen and Mark Wasserman, A History of Latin America, (Boston, Massachusetts: Houghton Mifflin Co, 1988), p. 556.
- 6. The predominance of political objectives and appearance of friends and foes discussions were paraphrased from Rudolph C. Barnes, "The Diplomat Warrior," Military Review, 70 (May 1990), pp. 58-60.
- 7. The "LIC template" was paraphrased from Charles L. Armstrong, "Making the Insurgent Quit," <u>Marine Corps Gazette</u>, 74 (December 1990), p. 55.
- 8. The four steps in Jomini's model were summarized from Antoine H. Jomini, "Jomini and His Summary of the Art of War," in Roots of Strategy Book 2, ed. J.D. Hittle, (Harrisburg, Pennsylvania: Stackpole Books, 1987), pp. 445-446.
- 9. Book information taken from Paul E. Sigmund, "The Development of Liberation Theology: Continuity or Change?" in <u>The Politics of Latin American Liberation Theology</u>, ed. Richard L. Rubenstein and John K. Roth, (Washington, D.C.: The Washington Institute for Values and Public Policy, 1988), p. 21.
- 10. "Glossary," in <u>The Politics of Latin American</u> <u>Liberation Theology</u>, p. 321.
- 11. The three stages were summarized from "Liberation Theology: Thy Kingdom Come, Here and Now," The Economist, 13 October 1984, p. 31.

- 12. Melissa K. Barnes, p. 1.
- 13. Actions of the priest were summarized from Roberta S. Jacobson, "Liberation Theology as a Revolutionary Ideology in Latin America," The Fletcher Forum, 10 (Summer 1986), p. 318.
- 14. Paraphrased from Crane Brinton, The Anatomy of a Revolution (New York: Vintage Books, 1965), p. 197.
- 15. Information on the publication taken from Keen and Wasserman, p. 555.
- 16. The second answer and the discussion which followed on the exploitation of the working class poor was paraphrased from Melissa K. Barnes, p. 2.
- 17. John Cooper, "Liberation Theology, Human Rights, and U.S. Security," in <u>The Politics of Latin American</u> <u>Liberation Theology</u>, p. 291.
- 18. Marx's view paraphrased from Antonio Ybarra-Rojas, "Liberation Theology and The Marxist Sociology of Religion," CLIC Papers, p. 12.
- 19. Termination of the capitalist state was paraphrased from Richard Schmidt, <u>Introduction to Marx and Engels</u> (Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press, 1987), p. 180.
- 20. Ibid., p. 192.
- 21. Marx's call for the overthrow of religion was paraphrased from Ybarra-Rojas, p. 24.
- 22. Schmidt, pp. 53-54.
- 23. The struggle of man was paraphrased from Ybarra-Rojas, p. 29.
- 24. The role of the church was paraphrased from Gustavo Benavides, "Catholicism and Politics in Latin America," In Movements and Issues in World Religions, ed. Charles Wei-hsun Fu and Gerhard E. Spiegler (New York: Greenwood Press, 1987), p. 107.
- 25. Early church information was summarized from Phillip Berryman, <u>Liberation Theology</u> (New York: Pantheon Books, 1987), p. 11.
- 26. Information on the clergy taken from Stepan Mamontov and Alvaro Oviedo, "Theology of Liberation: A New Heresy?," World Marxist Review, 29 (March 1986), p. 83.
- 27. Evolution of the role of the clergy was summarized from Berryman, p. 13.

- 28. Ibid., p. 5.
- 29. The basis for Liberation Theology was summarized from Jacobson, p. 319.
- 30. Information on the priests in Argentina and other groups was summarized from Berryman, p. 21.
- 31. Guenter Lewy, "Pacifism and the Just Revolution," The Washington Quarterly, 11 (Summer 1988): p. 115.
- 32. Information on the letter was summarized from Mamontov and Oviedo, p. 85.
- 33. Information on the Medellin conference was paraphrased from Melissa K. Barnes, p. 1.
- 34. Medellin results were summarized from Berryman, pp. 22-23.
- 35. The Pope and Revolution, Quentin L. Quade, ed., (Washington, D.C.: The Ethics and Public Policy Center, 1982), p. 155.
- 36. Melissa K. Barnes, p. 3.
- 37. Information on CBCs was paraphrased from Berryman, p. 67.
- 38. The social reordering information was paraphrased from Melissa K. Barnes, p. 1.
- 39. Gutierrez's views were summarized from Ibid, p. 1.
- 40. Paul E. Sigmund, p. 27.
- 41. Liberation Theologian views of the church were paraphrased from Keen and Wasserman, pp. 155-156.
- 42. The cause of poverty was paraphrased from Melissa K. Barnes, p. 45.
- 43. Gutierrez's views were paraphrased from The Economist, 13 October 1984, p. 32.
- 44. The link between Marxism and Christianity was paraphrased from Ybarra-Rojas, p. 26.
- 45. Davidson, p. 76.
- 46. Independence data was summarized from Ingrid Iversen, "Costa Rica," in <u>South America</u>, <u>Central America</u> and the <u>Caribbean 1991</u>, (London: Europa Publications Limited, 1990), p. 203.

- 47. Revolution data was summarized from Fernando de Mello Vianna, The International Geographic Encyclopedia and Atlas, (Boston, Massachusetts: Houghton Mifflin, Co., 1979), p. 184.
- 48. Costa Rica's government's characteristics were summarized from, Iversen, pp. 203-204.
- 49. Economic data and election results were summarized from "Costa Rica," <u>Facts on File 1990</u>, 50 (9 February 1990), p. 92.
- 50. Calderon's economic situation was summarized from Iversen, p. 204.
- 51. The opinion of Costa Rica was paraphrased from Mark Falcoff, "Making Central America Safe for Communism," Commentary, 85 (June 1988), p. 19.
- 52. Historical data was summarized from Iversen, p. 204.
- 53. Costa Rica's use as a model in Central America was paraphrased from Falcoff, p. 23.
- 54. President Arias' economic plan was summarized from Iversen, pp. 204-207.
- 55. Berryman, p. 97.
- 56. Information on the two guerilla groups and the ability of Costa Rica to solve its internal problems was summarized from Iversen, p. 212.
- 57. Historical overview was summarized from David Browning, "El Salvador," In <u>South America</u>, <u>Central America</u> and the <u>Caribbean</u> 1991, p. 280.
- 58. Data on the 1969 war was taken from Vianna, p. 230.
- 59. Information on Duarte was summarized from Moshe Y. Sachs, <u>Worldmark Encyclopedia of the Nations</u>, 1988, VII, p. 141.
- 60. Data on the FMLN and election of President Cristiani was paraphrased from Browning, pp. 280-281.
- 61. Information on activities after the truce meeting was summarized from Tim Golden, "Salvador Declares Peace On Paper, Anyway," The New York Times, 29 September 1991, sec. E, p. 3.
- 62. The summation of murder, rape and executions was paraphrased from Berryman, pp. 204-205.

- 63. Information on CBCs in El Salvador was summarized from Jacobson, p. 322.
- 64. Information on government charges of clergy attacks was summarized from the "Daily Report: Latin America," Foreign Broadcast Information Service, Jean E. Austin, ed., (New Cannan, Connecticut: News Bank, Inc., 4 December 1989), p. 18.
- 65. Information on FMLN damage to the infrastructure was summarized from Falcoff, p. 21.
- 66. Independence and government data was summarized from Ingrid Iversen, "Guatemala," In <u>South America</u>, <u>Central America and the Caribbean 1991</u> p. 312.
- 67. Data from 1944-1954 was summarized from Vianna, p. 298.
- 68. Information on the 1970s and 1980s, including the comments by the URNG, was summarized from Iversen, "Guatemala," p. 312.
- 69. Paraphrased from Falcoff, p. 22.
- 70. Summarized from Iversen, "Guatemala," pp. 312-313.
- 71. Summarized from Ibid, p. 313.
- 72. Information on the Cursillos de Capacitacion and the quote were taken from Michael Kearney, "Religion, Ideology, and Revolution in Latin America," <u>Latin America Perspectives</u>, 50 (Summer 1986), p. 6.
- 73. Perceptions by the government and data on the murder and ambush were summarized from Berryman, p. 161.
- 74. Arthur F. McGovern, "Liberation Theology Adapts and Endures," Commonweal, 3 November 1989, p. 589.
- 75. Influence of the military over the presidency in Guatemala was paraphrased from Iversen, "Guatemala," p. 316.
- 76. Falcoff, p. 22.
- 77. Vianna, p. 322.
- 78. Information from 1932-1986 was summarized from Helen Schooley, "Honduras," in <u>South America</u>. Central America and the <u>Caribbean 1991</u>, pp. 354-355.
- 79. Ibid., p. 362.

- 80. Lindsey Gruson, "Can Central America Win a War on Poverty?" The New York Times, 24 June 1990, sec. IV, p. 1.
- 81. Information on the confrontation between Honduras and Nicaragua, and the US response, was summarized from Schooley, p. 355.
- 82. Ibid., p. 363.
- 83. Information on training bases, number of soldiers, 1988 riots, and the attacks on U.S. installations was summarized from Ibid., p. 355.
- 84. Information concerning Fr. Craney was summarized from Kearney, pp. 90-93.
- 85. Information on Nicaragua's independence, US involvement in internal affairs, the rise of the Sandinistas to power, and the overthrow of Samoza (the three previous paragraphs) was paraphrased from Sachs, p. 209.
- 86. Paraphrased from Browning, p. 446.
- 87. Ibid., p. 456.
- 88. Charles Lane and Tim Padgett, "The Return of the Contras?" Newsweek, 26 November 1990, p. 49.
- 89. CBC information summarized from Jacobson, p. 322.
- 90. Berryman, p. 74.
- 91. Summarized from Davidson, p. 72.
- 92. Information on the unresolved issues paraphrased from U.S. Department of the Army, <u>The Threat In Low Intensity Conflict</u> (Coordinating Draft), Field Manual FM 100-2-20, (Ft. Leavenworth, Kansas: U.S. Army Combined Arms Threat Directorate, 1990), p. 2-4.
- 93. Jomini, p. 442.
- 94. Ibid., p. 446.
- 95. Ibid., p. 445.
- 96. William J. Olson, "Low Intensity Conflict: The Institutional Challenge," <u>Military Review</u>, 69 (February 1989), p. 14.
- 97. U.S. Department of the Army, <u>Operations</u>, Field Manual FM 100-5 (Washington, D.C.: Headquarters, Department of the Army, 1986), pp. 4-5.

- 98. U.S. Department of the Army, <u>Military Operations in Low Intensity Conflict</u>, Field Manual 100-20, (Washington D.C.: Headquarters, Departments of the Army and Air Force, 1990), p. 1-1.
- 99. Rubenstein and Roth, p. 3.
- 100. Field Manual 100-20, pp. 2-3 thru 2-4.
- 101. Ibid., p. 2-24.
- 102. Ibid., p. E-4.
- 103. Field Manual 100-2-20, pp. 2-2 thru 2-4.
- 104. Ibid., pp. 3-4 thru 3-5.
- 105. Summarized from Berryman, pp. 164-167.
- 106. Field Manual 100-2-20, p. 8-1.
- 107. Rudolph C. Barnes, p. 58.
- 108. Paraphrased from Field Manual 100-20, p. 2-1.
- 109 Ibid., p. 1-11.
- 110. Rudolph C. Barnes, pp. 58-59.
- 111. Steven Metz, "An American Strategy for Low Intensity Conflict," <u>Strategic Review</u>, 17 (Fall 1989), p. 11.
- 112. Melissa K. Barnes, p. 6.
- 113. Jimmie F. Holt, "LIC in Central America: Training Implications for the US Army," <u>Military Review</u>, 70 (March 1990), p. 13.
- 114. Ibid., p. 13.
- 115. William T. Anderson, "Legal Restraints in Low Intensity Conflict," Marine Corps Gazette, 73 (April 1989), p. 44.
- 116. Phillip Berryman as quoted in Davidson, p. 75.
- 117. U.S. Department of the Army, <u>The Law of Land Warfare</u>, Field Manual 27-10, (Washington D.C.: Headquarters, Department of the Army, 1956), p. 34.
- 118. Ibid., p. 147.
- 119. Ibid., p. 33.

- 120. Paraphrased from D. Dennison Lane and Mark Weisenbloom, "Low Intensity Conflict: In Search of a Paradigm," <u>International Defense Review</u>, 23 (January 1990), p. 39.
- 121. Paraphrased from Field Manual 27-10, p. 92.
- 122. Rudolph C Barnes, p. 58.
- 123. Paraphrased from Holt, p. 14.
- 124. Anderson, p. 44.
- 125. Paraphrased from Holt, p. 14.
- 126. Paraphrased from Ibid., p. 14
- 127. Paraphrased from Silverstein, p. 29.
- 128. Paraphrased from Holt, p. 14.
- 129. Paraphrased from Field Manual 100-20, p. 2-8.
- 130. Representation of the church and role of the church in the spread of Marxism in Central America was paraphrased from, Ybarra-Rojas, p. 7.
- 131. Paraphrased from Keen and Wasserman, p. 558.
- 132. Armstrong, p. 55.
- 133. The three reasons for the continuation of Liberation Theology were summarized from Metz, p. 11.
- 134. Richard L. Rubenstein, "The Political Significance of Latin American Liberation Theology," <u>International Journal On World Peace</u>, 3 (Jan-Mar 1986), p. 52.
- 135. Berryman, p. 19.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

GOVERNMENT PUBLICATIONS

- Barnes, Melissa K. and Antonio Ybarra-Rojas. CLIC Papers. Langley A.F.B., Virginia: Army-Air Force Center for Low Intensity Conflict, June, 1989.
- U.S. Department of the Army. How Latin American Insurgents Fight. 193d Infantry Brigade Pamphlet 381-2. Fort Clayton, Panama: Headquarters, 193d Infantry Brigade, 1985.
- U.S. Department of the Army. <u>Operations</u>. Field Manual 100-5. Washington, D.C.: Headquarters, Department of the Army, 1986.
- U.S. Department of the Army. The Law of Land Warfare. Field Manual 27-10. Washington, D.C.: Department of the Army, 1956.
- U.S. Department of the Army. The Threat In Low Intensity Conflict (Coordinating Draft). Field Manual 100-2-20. Ft. Leavenworth, Kansas: U.S. Army Combined Arms Center Threat Directorate, 1990.
- U.S. Department of the Army and Air Force. Military
 Operations in Low Intensity Conflict. Field Manual
 100-20. Washington, D.C.: Headquarters, Department of
 the Army and the Air Force, 1990.
- U.S. Department of State and Department of Defense. The Challenge to Democracy in Central America. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, June, 1986.

BOOKS

- Benavides, Gustavo. "Catholicism and Politics in Latin America." In <u>Movements and Issues in World Religions</u>. Ed. Charles Wei-hsun Fu and Gerhard E. Spiegler. New York: Greenwood Press, 1987.
- Berryman, Phillip. <u>Liberation Theology</u>. New York: Pantheon Books, 1987.
- Blachman, Moris J., William M. LeoGrande and Kenneth E. Sharpe, Ed. <u>Confronting Revolution</u>: <u>Security Through Diplomacy in Central America</u>. New York: Pantheon Books, 1986.
- Booth, John A. and Mitchell A. Seligson, Ed. <u>Elections</u> and <u>Democracy in Central America</u>. Chapel Hill, North Carolina: The University of North Carolina Press, 1989.

- Booth, John A. and Mitchell A. Seligson, Ed. <u>Political</u>
 <u>Participation in Latin America</u>. 2 vols. New York:
 Holmes and Meier Publishers, Inc., 1978-79.
- Brinton, Crane. <u>The Anatomy of Revolution</u>. New York: Vintage Books, 1965.
- Browning, David, Ingrid Iversen and Helen Schooley. In South America. Central America and the Caribbean 1991. London: Europa Publications Limited, 1990.
- Cooper, John, et al. The Politics of Latin American Liberation Theology. Ed. Richard L. Rubenstein and John K. Roth. Washington, D.C.: The Washington Institute for Values and Public Policy, 1988. pp. 288-305.
- Forochar, Manzar. <u>The Catholic Church and Social Change in Nicaragua</u>. Albany, New York: State University of New York Press, 1989.
- Hoffer, Eric. The True Believer. New York: Harper and Row, 1966.
- Jomini, Antoine, H. "Jomini and His Summary of the Art of War." In Roots of Strategy Book 2. Ed. J.D. Hittle. Harrisburg, Pennsylvania: Stackpole Books, 1987, pp. 389-557.
- Keegan, John and Andrew Wheatcroft. Zones of Conflict:

 An Atlas of Future Wars. New York: Simon and Schuster,
 Inc., 1986, pp. 125-130.
- Keen, Benjamin and Mark Wasserman. A History of Latin America. Boston, Massachusetts: Hughton Mifflin Co., 1988.
- Lewy, Guenter. <u>Peace and Revolution: The Moral Crisis</u> of <u>American Pacifism</u>. Grand Rapids, Michigan: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1988.
- Lewy, Guenter. Religion and Revolution. New York: Oxford University Press, 1974.
- Malloy, James M. and Eduardo A. Gamarra, Ed. <u>Latin</u>

 <u>America and Caribbean Contemporary Record: Volume VII</u>

 (1987-1988). New York: Holmes and Meier Publishers,
 Inc., 1990, pp. A80-A93.
- McLean, George, Raul Molina and Timothy Ready, Ed.

 <u>Culture, Human Rights and Peace in Central America</u>.

 Lanham, Maryland: The Council for Research in Values and Philosophy, 1989. pp. 115-213.

- Quade, Quentin L. Ed. The Pope and Revolution.

 Washington, D.C.: Ethics and Public Policy Center, 1982.
- Quade, Quentin L. <u>The U.S. and Wars of National Liberation: Report on a Seminar</u>. New York: The Council on Religion and International Affairs, 1966.
- Sachs, Moshe Y. Worldmark Encyclopedia of the Nations, 1988, VII, pp. 102-210.
- Schmidt, Richard. <u>Introduction to Marx and Engels</u>. Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press, 1987.
- Vermaat, J.A. Emerson. The World Council of Churches & Politics 1975-1986. New York: Freedom House, 1989.
- Vianna, Fernando de Mello. <u>The International Geographic Encyclopedia and Atlas</u>. Boston, Massachusetts: Houghton Mifflin, Co., 1979, pp. 184-544.

<u>ARTICLES</u>

- Abrams, Elliot. "The Deal in Central America." Commentary 87 (May 1989), pp. 29-32.
- Anderson, William, T. "Legal Restraints in Low Intensity Conflict." <u>Marine Corps Gazette</u> 73 (April 1989), pp. 43-44.
- Armstrong, Charles L. "Making the Insurgent Quit."

 Marine Corps Gazette 74 (December 1990), pp. 51-55.
- "At Last, Hope for Peace in El Salvador." Newsweek, 25 March 1991, p. 43.
- Barnes, Rudolph C. Jr. "The Diplomat Warrior." <u>Military</u> Review 70 (May 1990), pp. 55-63.
- Bell, J. Boyer. "Revolutionary Insurgency: The Threat to This Generation Waiting for the Fat Lady to Sing."

 <u>Conflict</u> 9 (Summer 1989), pp. 251-270.
- "Costa Rica." Facts on File 1990, 9 February 1990, p. 92.
- "Daily Report: Latin America." <u>Foreign Broadcast</u>
 <u>Information Service</u>. Ed. Jean E. Austin. New Cannan,
 Connecticut: News Bank, Inc., 4 December 1989, p. 18.
- Davidson, Donald L. "Liberation Theology and the Religious Roots of Rebellion." <u>Parameters</u> 16 (Summer 1986), pp. 70-80.

- Falcoff, Mark. "Making Central America Safe for Communism." Commentary 85 (June 1988), pp. 17-24.
- Golden, Tim. "Salvador Declares Peace On Paper, Anyway." <u>The New York Times</u>, 29 September 1991, sec. E, p. 3.
- Gruson, Lindsey. "Can Central America Win a War on Poverty?" The New York Times, 24 June 1990, sec. IV, p. 1.
- Gruson, Lindsey. "Central American Presidents Focus on Economics." <u>The New York Times</u>, 18 June 1990, sec A, p. 11.
- Gruson, Lindsey. "Oil Price, a New Blow to Central Americas." The New York Times, 7 November 1990, sec A, p. 11.
- Hanke, James S. and John H. Crerar. "Shifting Threat Moves Toward Lower Intensity Conflicts." <u>Signal</u> 45 (December 1990), pp. 21-26.
- Holt, Jimmie F. "LIC in Central America Training Implications for the US Army." Military Review 70 (March 1990), pp. 2-15.
- Kearney, Michael. "Religion, Ideology, and Revolution in Latin America." <u>Latin America Perspectives</u> 50 (Summer 1986), pp. 3-12.
- Lane, Charles and Tim Padgett. "The Return of the Contras?" Newsweek, 26 November 1990, p. 49.
- Lane, D. Dennison and Mark Weisenbloom. "Low-intensity Conflict In Search of a Paradigm." <u>International Defense Review</u> 23 (January 1990), pp. 35-39.
- Lewy, Guenter. "Pacificism and the Just Revolution." Washington Quarterly 11 (Summer 1988), pp. 115-126.
- "Liberation Theology: Thy Kingdom Come, Here and Now."

 The Economist, 13 October 1984, pp. 31-34.
- Marquis, Christopher. "U.S. Major in Salvador 'accepted' Jesuit Killings." The Miami Herald, 5 July 1991, sec A, pp. 1-6.
- McGovern, Arthur F. "Liberation Theology Adapts and Endures." Commonweal, 3 November 1988, pp. 587-590.
- "Memorandum of Law: Executive Order 12333 and Assassination." <u>The Army Lawyer</u> (December 1989), pp. 4-9.

- Metz, Steven. "American Strategy for Low Intensity Conflict." Strategic Review 17 (Fall 1989), pp. 9-17.
- Novak, Michael. "Liberation from Liberation Theology." Forbes, 2 April 1990, pp. 82-83.
- Novak, Michael. "What Do They Mean by Socialism." Orbis 30 (Fall 1986), pp. 405-425.
- Olson, William J. "Low Intensity Conflict The Institutional Challenge." <u>Military Review</u> 69 (February 1989), pp. 6-17.
- Oviedo, Alvaro and Stephan Mamontov. "Theology of Liberation: A New Heresy?" World Markist Review 29 (March 1986), pp. 83-90.
- Robinson, Linda and Tom Gibb. "Justice on Trial in El Salvador." U.S. News and World Report, 14 October 1991, p. 51.
- Rubenstein, Richard L. "The Political Significance of Latin American Liberation Theology." <u>International Journal On World Peace</u> 3 (January-March 1986), pp. 41-55.
- Schere-Warren, Ilse. "Rediscovering Our Dignity An Appraisal of The Utopia of Liberation Theology in Latin America." <u>International Sociology</u> 5 (March 1990), pp. 11-25.
- Shepherd, George W., Jr. "Liberation Theology and Class Struggle in Southern Africa and Latin America." The Review of Black Political Economy. 9 (Winter 1979), pp. 159-173.
- Silverstein, Daniel S. "Preparing to Win Low-intensity Conflicts." National Defense 75 (December 1990), pp. 27-29.
- Sloan, Stephen. "US Strategy for LIC: An Enduring Legacy or Passing Fad?" Military Review 70 (January 1990), pp. 42-49.
- Steinfeld Jacobson, Roberta. "Liberation Theology as a Revolutionary Ideology in Latin America." <u>The Fletcher Forum</u> 10 (Summer 1986), pp. 317-336.
- Stoll, David. "Guatemala Elects a Born-Again President." The Christian Century, 20 February 1991, pp. 189-190.
- "The Little War That Will Not Stop." The Economist, 16 February 1991, p. 33.

- "Thwarted are the Peacemakers." The Economist, 23 January 1988, pp. 31-32.
- Uhlig, Mark A. "Central America Faces New U.S. Poilcy: The Cold Shoulder." The New York Times, 3 February 1991, sec IV, p. 1.
- Uhlig, Mark A. "Top Contras Deny Killing Nuns, but Clergy Differs." The New York Times, 4 January 1990, sec A, p. 10.